CONSTRUCTION VALUE ENGINEERING CONCEPT PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

			Date 06/05/2009
Co	ntract ID 070928-X01		Job No. J0P0928
Co	unty Madison	Route 67	Original Bid Cost \$37,597,624.33
Co	ntractor Emery Sapp & So	ons	By Matthew Oesch
De	signed By Matthew Oesch		Phone (573) 489-9216
	ECP 09-49		
1.	Description of existing requ	ifrements and proposed	change(s). Advantages/Disadvantages
2	would require the existing ro Emery Sapp & Sons propose Advantages to the proposal in	padway be closed and demost to wedge the new alignred include preventing road classifications and increase cost savings.	olished in order to construct the new alignment. nent into the existing roadway with asphalt paving. osure of Route C, reducing the time frame of traffic No disadvantages are foreseen with this proposal. \$6,345.16
			have on other department costs, such as
٥.	maintenance and operation		nave on other department costs, such as
	None		•
	Anticipated date for submit Specifications.	ttal of detailed change(s)	of items required by Section 104.6 of the
		06/05/20	09
		(date)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Deadline for issuing a chang completion time or delivery		num cost reduction, noting the effect of contract
	06/22/2009	Provide ample time to	grade and prep for Stage 1 prior to paving.
•	(date)		(effect)
6.	Dates of any previous or co	ncurrent submission of t	he same proposal.
		N/A	•
		(date and/or	

Additional Comments:

A letter with detailed explanations of the construction modifications and spreadsheets detailing cost savings will be included.

** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT **

Comments: Rhe, C V. ESS VE#3		
	Aguardy a prize on coldmilling a but	joint.
	Matt Inch.	6-20-09
	Submitted By Resident Engineer	Date
Comments:	50/50 split	
	:	
Approval Recommended	Mark Shelton by R. Cla	7-1-09
Rejection Recommended	District Engineer	Date
Comments:		
	mai a	
Approval	David D. Goods	7-6-09
Rejection	State Operations Engineer BAN	Date

Distributions

Resident Engineer, District Operations Engineer, State Operations Engineer
*Value Engineering Administrator - *MoDOT, P.O. Bax 270, Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mr. Matt Malone, R.E. Missouri Dept. of Transportation 105 Industrial Dr. Park Hills, MO 63601

RE: Value Engineering Proposal 3
Rte. 67, Madison County,
Job No. J0P0928

This letter is written in proposition of a Value Engineering proposal to the construction requirements of Route C from 0+81.6 to 3+81.6. Emery Sapp & Sons proposes to wedge the newly aligned Route C from 1+50 to 3+75 into existing Route C using asphalt pavement.

Under the original design requirements existing Route C would need to be demolished from 0+81.6 to 3+75 in order for the new alignment to be constructed. This would require Rte C to be closed for up to two weeks, causing traffic to use a lengthy detour to the south by way of Rte N in order to obtain access to US 67. The new alignment for Rte C crosses over the existing road way and would require the old roadway to be cut out 1-2 ft in order for the new top of pavement grades to be obtained. Full depth pavement of 5 ½" Bit Base and 1 ½" BP-1would be installed for the entire length 0+81.6 to 3+75.

Emery Sapp & Sons proposes the new alignment be raised in from 3+81.6 – 1+50 in such a way that the new Rte C will meet up with the existing edge of pavement, preventing the old roadway from requiring removal. This will allow Rte C to remain open of the entire length of construction on the tie-in. Flaggers will be used to monitor traffic and maintain safety as the tie-in is being constructed. Edge treatment will be placed along the existing edge of pavement to maintain motorist safety while construction is temporarily inactive. Addition asphalt for shoulder support one foot wide by six inches deep of Bit Base (in addition to the full depth pavement leading up to it) will be placed along the edge of the existing pavement from 3+75 to 1+50 to provided added stability to the joint between new and existing pavement. Full depth 5 ¼" Bit Base would be laid at design width from 3+81.6 up to the edge of the existing pavement at 3+75, where it would taper from 22' – 0' along the edge of existing Rte C from 3+75 to 1+50. The full depth Bit Base would be placed in such a manner that the meets flush with the existing pavement. Then 1 ¾" of BP-1 will be laid over the new bite base and existing pavement from 3+81.6 – 1+50 forming a smooth tie-in. From 1+50-0+81.6 the BP-1 would be tapered down from 1 ¾" to match existing pavement. A butt joint may be added at 0+81.6 for additional cost if MoDOT believes it to be necessary.

By using the proposed wedging design over the original design several advantages are obtained. The wedging scenario will allow the new roadway to be constructed under live traffic. This will prevent road closure of Rte C, which would cause lengthy detours to a heavily traveled letter route. By wedging with asphalt less grading is required resulting in faster completion of the roadway. This will shorten the time frame in which motorist will be disrupted, thus increasing highway safety. Cost savings of \$6,345.16 are obtained by using the wedging scenario because less asphalt and Type 1 base are required to cover the same area. No disadvantages appear evident when using the wedging vs. completely realigning the roadway as required by the original design.

In conclusion the value engineering proposal will increase safety for traveling motorist by shortening the time frame required to construct the tie-in. The need for road closures will be completely eliminated, preventing extensive delays and detours for the numerous public and freight traveling Rte C on the daily basis. A cost savings of only \$6,345.16 will be obtained by using the value engineering proposal. Emery Sapp & Sons believes this proposal to be the safest and most cost effective scenario for completing the Rte C tie-in to existing US 67 while still allowing the traffic passage through the work zone.

8.5 Tons Bit Base **8.5 Tons** 302.6 sqyd 248.5 sqyd 112.86 sft/27 = 4.18 CY =4.2 CY => 2723.2 sqft 2236.2 sqft 8.5 Tons ---> 68.4 long x 22' wide x .15'->0' deep = Area of full depth saved by wedging over old asphalt from 1+50-3+75 = 225.8 ft x 1 ft wide x 6" deep = 30.0 Tons Needed 24.6 Tons Needed 73.7 Tons Needed 89.7 Tons Saved Area of full depth pavement needed from 1+50-3+75 = Pavement needed from 1+50 to 0+81.6 Bit Base = 8.5 Tons Needed Shoulder Treatment for Wedge = 14.71 CY => 36.23 CY => 44.13 CY => 12.08 CY => Bit Base Bit Base BP-1= BP-1

Area of Type 1 Base needed by wedging over old asphalt = Type 1 Base =

Pavement needed from 3+75-3+81.6

Bit Base

BP-1

1.6

248.5 SY

Extra Asphalt for making Tie-in and Wedging under Live Traffic

10 Tons BP-1=

5 Tons Bit Base = Edge Treatment - cost covers put up/take down subgrade and base (2 times)

Traffic Control -Flagging under live traffic

- 2 hr transporting and installing signs
- 2 hr on preping subgrade at edge of pavement
- 4 hr on laying base and cutting base on edge of pavement

at 226 LF = \$1,130.00 \$5.00/FT

15 hr on laying asphalt and striping under live traffic

20 hr on shouldering up and finish grading against edge of pavement under live traffic for length of newly designed Rte C 43 HR x 2 flaggers

86 HR

2 Flaggers at 45.77/hr w/burden =

\$3,753.14

1530			83	10	
		WX.			
1000	470				H
84.22	100				i i
			88		
S	S	80%		88	i i
# C	⊆	C400			QП 70
0	V O				
		Ų	n l	100	! -
				, w	и.,
92.0	10.5	3070	縣	X	# %
N	₹	0	0.1	, Q.,	≝ ∪
ത		. <	Ť	466	a
		C			
13,20		Sec.			8
MES.		8 X) A			Ì
1868	(4505)				<u>.</u>
1230	H_{i}			纖	1
12,933	1000				
100		Visite)			8
BET LA	100	X			
Ü		1	1 .	3/2	ž
	115				8
Needed for V	<u>(</u>		- 1		
-5	N _E	1.0			Я.
4			- 1		
73		30.4	J > 8		2. 0
(O)	-0	3	adA	40	8 2
-			2	3/4	3 6
ത	ad for 1		בכתכת	44.7	1 (
ത	eded	100	J		2 11
	70		U.	3000	9 -
	ďΨ.	100 C	ט ו	344	-
. 0	· ·				3 (
S	7	Y	1	% 4	ě.
ത	V 2000			10	7
t Base N		Baco	5	ĐΩ	9 2
		ď	5 E		6
~~	\sim	W/40		3	1
Bi	200	V	1 8	177	٤. ا
77	-	ď	U S		Froffic Control Elonging
213	:33		2	850	1 7
0	0	3	>	90	1
ĽΨ	ı,		- 1		# F
102.000	Marie 2	¥	وسند	-	

Cost Difference for Wedging Route Cover Existing vs. Original Design

		Orginal Design	sign				
Station Type	Туре	Length (ft) Width (ft) Depth	th (ft) Depth	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price Cost	ost
)+81.6 -	Type 1 Base	299.88	22 N/A		733.04 SY	\$5.25	\$3,848.46
3+81.6	Optional Pavement	299.88	22		733.04 SY	\$26.00	\$19,059.04

	Cost	\$1,304.63	\$5,336.00	\$4,855.50	\$1,130.00	\$3,936.22	\$16,562.35
	Unit Price	\$5.25	\$58.00	\$65.00	\$5.00	\$45.77	Total Cost =
VE Proposal	Quantity Unit	248.50 SY	92 TN	74.7 TN	226 LF	86 HR	
	Туре	Type 1 Base	Bit Base	BP-1	Edge Treatment	Traffic Control -Flagging	
	Station	0+81.6 -	3+81.6				

Total Saving Item vs. Contract = \$6,345.16

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TY	PE	OF	WO	RK
	_	~ 1		

(Check one that applies)

Bridge/Structure/Footings

- □ Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- □ TCP/MOT
- X Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- □ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

Contractor proposes to construct Route C tie-in with bituminous base and pavement while keeping roadway open to traffic. Original design would have closed road while constructing the tie-in. This is a 50/50 split.

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to b	e scanned into the database.	If
there are special instructions, make note of them here.		

One document too large to scan	
3	